

*

((2466))
(493)

:

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

. 2014/10/1 :

.2015

. 2013/7/14 :

©

...

**Effectiveness of Teachers' Performance Annual
Record as they perceive it In the Public Secondary Schools at Karak**

Abstract

This study aimed at recognizing the effectiveness of teachers' performance annual record in the public secondary schools of Karak Governorate as they perceive it. The study also aimed at determining the differences of the effectiveness attributed to gender, qualifications and expertise. The population of the study consisted of 2466 female and male teachers. The researcher developed a questionnaire consisting of five dimensions (Outputs, Knowledge Competencies, Objectives of the annual record, Criteria of Assessment and Elements of Distinction). After verifying the confidence and stability of the instrument the researcher distributed it over a sample of 493 teachers. The study indicated that the level of the effectiveness of performance annual record was medium where output dimension obtained the first rank with medium score, while the elements of distinction dimension obtained the last rank with medium score too. The study indicated no statistically significant differences at ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) in the viewpoints of teachers for all dimensions attributed to qualifications and expertise while there were statistically significant differences in the viewpoints attributed to gender and to the favor of male teachers. The study recommended the necessity to review the relative importance of scores assigned to the dimensions to fit the importance of each dimension. It also stressed the redistribution of criteria weights in that each score matches the importance of each of job performance. It recommended the conducting of annual training programs for principals and teachers of public secondary schools in Karak Governorate to assess their performance and to inform them its importance.

.(1994)

.(2006)

(2006)

...

(2005)

(2009)

()

6 (

(2007) (30)

-

-

(2001)

. 2007

)
(2011) (2007

(2007 (30))
/
25%

60%
15%
) .
. (2007)

(2006)
(2010) .
()

...



(2010)

\

.2015

()

(2007) (5))

:

(2007) (30) 2007

...



()

:

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

.()

:

:

.1

()

.2

:

•

•

•

:

.(2012 /2013)

:

:

" (2006) 1999).

".

:

.(2007) . 2007

:

.(34)

).

)

...

:

:

:" (1994

"

(8)

(111951)

(65)

:

(642)

."

"

:" (2007)

"

(3750)

(50)

(750)

(AlAsem, 2003)

(25)

(110)

:" (2003)

" -

(257)

(99)

(57)

)

:(

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

:

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

:" (2002)

"

...

900

(2010)

(150)

(900)

:" (2012)

"

"

(200)

)

(52)

: (

. ()
.(()
($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

– –)

" (Timperley, 1998)

(310)

(Mani, 2002)

...

(Woodford and Jeanne, 2002)

:

(kyriakides and Demetriou, 2007, Charalambous

42

355

:

2002

1994

):

2010

2003

2003 AIAssem

1998

Timperley

.2015

(2012

(1994) (Mani, 2002)

Woodford and Jeane 2007)
(2010 2006 kyriakides and lambez 2003 AlAsem 2002

2007

:

:

...

2012\2013

(1378)

(1088)

(

(500)

(494)

(20%)

(493)

(1)

(1)

493	223		
	270		
493	307		
	140	+	
	46		
493	109		1-5
	144	10	5-
	240		10

:

(1994)

(48)

(2003)

:

:

/

:

:

. (2003)

:

.

:

...

:

(20)

(48)

(80%)

(48)

:

(30)

(2)

(2)

0.880	/
0.924	
0.909	
0.905	
0.870	
0.966	

(0.87-0.91)

(0.96)

()

(+)

(10 10 5 5)

:

:

(8 7 6 5 4 3)

:

(3)

	1	0.83	3.46	\
	3	0.93	3.36	
	4	0.86	3.34	
	2	0.88	3.45	
	5	0.92	3.30	
		0.80	3.38	

...



)
(\

(3.46) (3.30)

(Mani (1995)
(2002) (2012) 2002)

(2010)

" \ "

(3.46)

"

(3.30) "

(3.21 - 3.59)

/ : / :

.(4)

(4)

/

				:	
	1	1.022	3.59	.	1
	2	0.97	3.57		5
	3	1.02	3.54		4
	4	1.10	3.52	.	8
	5	1.04	3.52	.	6
	6	1.06	3.51		7
	7	1.00	3.48		9
	8	1.13	3.45	.	10
	9	1.06	3.45		3
	10	1.18	3.36	.	2
	11	1.17	3.31		12
	12	1.12	3.21		11
		0.83	3.46	/	

...

\

"

"

(
)

:

(5)

(5)

				:	
	1	0.99	3.51		18
	2	1.11	3.46		24
	3	1.07	3.43		20

.2015

				:	
	4	1.18	3.40		19
	5	1.12	3.34		23
	6	1.14	3.33		22
	7	1.11	3.33		15
	8	1.15	3.33		17
	9	1.11	3.32		14
	10	1.08	3.30		16
	11	1.18	3.30		21
	12	1.27	3.29		13
		0.93	3.36		

"

"

...

()

(2003) (2002) (Mani 2002)

(2012)

:

(6)

(6)

				:	
	1	1.01	3.57		25
	2	1.07	3.45		33
	3	1.05	3.36		34

.2015

				:	
	4	1.08	3.36		26
	5	1.07	3.34		29
	6	1.06	3.31		27
	7	1.10	3.31		31
	8	1.10	3.29		32
	9	1.10	3.27		30
	11	1.07	3.25		28
	11	1.06	3.21		35
		0.86	3.34		

" "

" "

...

)

(

60%

(2002)

(2003)

:

.(8)

(8)

				:	
	1	0.98	3.55		37
	2	1.03	3.51		41
	3	1.03	3.48		38
	4	1.06	3.48		39
	5	1.01	3.37		40
	6	0.98	3.34		36
		0.88	3.45		

(ICDL)

)

(

(

—

—

()

(

)

:

(8)

...

(8)

				:	
	1	1.09	3.44		42
	2	1.04	3.39		47
	3	1.05	3.38		46
	4	1.08	3.31		43
	5	1.08	3.25		45
	6	1.15	3.25		48
	7	1.17	3.05		44
		0.92	3.30		

)
(

)

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

)

:

(

(9)

(9)

3.56	3.42	3.57	3.55	3.59	3.64			
.701	0.89	0.81	0.73	0.83	0.76			
3.23	3.19	3.35	3.16	3.17	3.31			
0.84	0.94	0.98	0.92	0.97	0.87			
3.38	3.30	3.45	3.34	3.36	3.46			
0.80	0.92	0.83	0.86	0.93	0.83			

...

3.38	3.32	3.46	3.30	3.36	3.47			
.820	.930	.910	.890	.960	.830			
3.43	3.32	3.47	3.42	3.41	3.49		+	
.730	.900	.790	.770	.840	.830			
3.26	3.09	3.35	3.33	3.21	3.32			
.870	.960	.930	.910	1.02	.880			
3.38	3.30	3.45	3.34	3.36	3.46			
0.80	0.92	0.83	0.86	0.93	0.83			
3.61	3.47	3.63	3.57	3.63	3.70		5	
0.75	0.90	0.85	0.80	0.88	0.77		5-	10
3.40	3.33	3.46	3.36	3.40	3.46			
0.78	0.91	0.87	0.83	0.92	0.82			
3.27	3.20	3.37	3.22	3.22	3.35		10	
0.81	0.93	0.89	0.88	0.94	0.85			
3.38	3.30	3.45	3.34	3.36	3.4			
0.80	0.92	0.83	0.86	0.93	0.83			

(9)

(10)

(10)

0.026	2.581a		0.027	
0.341	1.124a	0.977		
0.834	0.576a	0.988		
0.851	0.556a	0.988		*
0.296	1.187a	0.975		*
0.774	0.751	0.969		*
0.355	1.088	0.955		* *

: (10)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

-

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

-

(11)

...

(11)

0.000	19.163	12.991	1	12.991	\	
0.000	24.501	20.542	1	20.542		
0.000	25.437	18.089	1	18.089		
0.007	7.260	5.599	1	5.599		
0.006	7.588	6.476	1	6.476		
0.000	21.874	13.511	1	13.511		
		0.678	491	332.874	\	
		0.838	491	411.658		
		0.711	491	349.153		
		0.771	491	378.644		
		0.853	491	419.049		
		0.618	491	303.273		
			492	345.865	\	
			492	432.200		
			492	367.241		
			492	384.244		
			492	425.524		
			492	316.784		

(11)

()

. (9)

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

60%

(1993)

(2003) (2012)

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

:

77%

2007

(3.61)

(9)

(2003)

(1993) ()

...

:

$(0.05 \geq \alpha)$

(2012)

.()

.

:

.

.1

.2

.3

.

.

.4

(2012)
.
.
133 (2006)
(2007)
.
:
(1996)
99 . 3 1
:
(2001).
:
(2010)
2007.
(1994)
.1195. 6 22
(2006)
4
(2005)
(2002) .
:
.47-78 14
(2006)
(2003)
(2011)

...

			(2009)	
2007	30	2007		
	4818		2085	1\4\2007
			(2003)	
			.(2010)	
			(2010)	
			(2004)	

AlAsim, M., (2003), Attitudes of Social Studies Supervisors and Teachers Toward Teachers, Evaluation System in Saudi Arabia, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Arkansas.

Kelly, Woodford and, Jeanne D Mase, (2002), Employee performance Evaluations: Administrating and Writing them Correctly in The Multi – National Setting "Equal Opportunities International, Vol.21, No.7, pp1-8.

Kyriakides L. Demetriou. D and Charalambous, c. (2007). Generating criteria for evaluating teachers through teacher effectiveness research.educational Research Vol.48, No.1 2006, Pp 1-20.

Mani,B,G.(2002,Sum).Performanc Appraisal Systems,Productivity,and Motivation:A Case Study. Public Personnel Management.On –line Available:Http://Search EpNet. Com

Timperley, Helen, "Performance appraisal: Principals perspectives and some implications", Journal of educational administration, vol. 36, No. 1, PP 44-58, (1998).