· . :

\*

.2016 ©

. . .

## Teaching the First Intermediate Grade Female Students by Using Mathematics Laboratory, and its Effect on their Mathematical Thinking Skills and Achievement

## Jawdat A. Saadeh Montaha S. Al-Ethawy

## **Abstract**

The study aimed at identifying the effect of using mathematics laboratory on the mathematical thinking skills and achievement of the first intermediate grade students in the city of Baghdad. The sample consisted of (50) female students and was distributed into two groups: the experimental group which was taught by using the mathematics laboratory method, and the control group which was taught by using the normal method.

The researchers used two tools: the mathematical thinking test that was developed by the researchers, and the achievement test that was also developed by them. The two tools were twsted for validity and reliability. The data was analyzed by using ANCOVA . The findings were as follows:

There were statistical significant differences between the two groups in both mathematical thinking skill and achievement test grades in favor of the experimental group that was taught by using the mathematics laboratory method.

The researchers recommended that mathematics laboratory should be used in schools and a field study should be conducted about the effect of using mathematics laboratory on another mathematical topics in different stages of education.

Keywords: Mathematics laboratory, Mathematical thinking skills, chievement.

:

.

.

•

.(2008 )

.

.

.(2004 )

.(2014 ) (2013) (Schoenfield,1983) .(2011 ) ) .(2013

(2014)

·

.

.(2014 )

.(2009 )

.(2006 )

.(1989 )

.(1989 )

.(2013 )

.(2014 ) 2014

(Manjunath, 2009) (2003 ) (2001 )
Okigbo ) (2004 ) (2009 ) (2013 ) (2010 )
.(& Osuafor, 2008

:

.

.

(2012 ) (2013 ) (2011 )

. /

|   |          |   |   |   | : |    |
|---|----------|---|---|---|---|----|
|   | :        |   |   |   |   | -1 |
|   |          | ٠ |   |   |   | -2 |
|   |          |   |   | • |   | -3 |
|   |          |   |   |   |   | -4 |
|   |          |   |   |   | : |    |
|   |          |   | : |   |   | -1 |
|   |          |   |   |   |   | -2 |
|   |          |   |   |   | : |    |
| : | (α≤0.05) |   |   |   |   | .1 |
|   |          |   |   |   |   |    |
|   | (α≤0.05) |   |   |   |   | .2 |

|   |   |   |   |   | • |         |    |     |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|----|-----|
|   |   |   |   |   |   | :       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | •       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | :       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    | -1  |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    | - 1 |
| • |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    | -2  |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | •       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    | -3  |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
| • |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    | -4  |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | :       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   | : |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   | • |   |         |    |     |
|   | / |   |   |   |   | :       |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |         |    |     |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | :       |    |     |
| ( |   | ) | ( | ) |   | 2014/20 | 13 |     |

-1 -2 -3 .(2013 .(2009 )

.(2014 .(2010 ) .(2006 ) .(2008 ) (Schussheim, 1980)

|      |                     | (65 | 5) |
|------|---------------------|-----|----|
| •    |                     |     |    |
|      |                     |     |    |
|      | (Lichtenheld, 1987) |     |    |
| (24) | (12)                |     |    |
|      |                     |     |    |
|      |                     | :   |    |
|      |                     | -   |    |
|      |                     | -   |    |
|      |                     | -   |    |
|      | ·                   | -   |    |
|      |                     |     |    |
|      |                     | :   | _  |
|      |                     |     | _  |
|      | " (1989)            |     |    |
|      |                     | ."  |    |
|      | .(                  | )   |    |

:

.

(1995)

. (72)

.

(1996)

Van Hill
: (98)

(52) (46)

( )

(0.01) :

:

(Howard et.al.,1996) (900)

:

-

. (%40) (%55)

-

": (1999)

(2001)

. (108)

:

(2003)

·

(2004)

(123)

(63) . (60)

· (0.05)

.

(Okigbo and Osuafor, 2008)

(100)

.

(Manjunath, 2009)

(80) (100)

(2010)

(29) (28) (2010 - 2009)

•

(t-test) -20-

(2010)

(155)

•

·

" (2013)

(25) . (20) (75)

(37) . (36)

(α≤0.05)

(α≤0.05)

:

(Johnson & Laurie, 2000)

(49)

(2003 )

.(NCTM, 2000)

(NCTM, 2000) (24) (1983)

(2005)

· (94) (70) (164)

•

(α≤0.05) .

.

(2011)

; .

. (2012)

(1148)

•••

```
(2012)
(182)
          )
                        .(
              (α≤0.05)
                     (2013)
                      (80)
                     1433/1432
       (40)
                              (40)
```

( )

(

(1995) (1989) (Lichtenheld,1987) (Schussheim,1980)

(2010) (Okigbo, Osuafor, 2008) (1999) (2004)

(2004)

(2003)

(Manjunath,2009) (2001)

(2010)

(2005) (2012) (2013)

(2012) (2013)

(Johnson, Lauri, 2000) .(2012) (2011)

(2011)

.

·

:

) ( (126) (30) (20) (65) (1) (1) 30 20 ) (

(

: .

:

(2004 )

(28)

(7) :

:

. (21)

:

(40)

.(0.87) test-retest

· :

(0.80) (0.20)

(27 26 15 14 6.11 3)

```
(0.30)
          .(27 26 15 14 11 6 3)
                                          :
                                          (21)
                (21-0)
                                                          21
                                                         :
           (30)
)
            (
                   )
                                                          -1
                                                  (
                       . 2014/2013
                                                          -2
      (
           )
                                             (
                                                        )
```

. - :

: -3

\* \*

. \* . \*

(30)

. (25)

. (40)

(0.80)

· :

(0.80) (0.20) 23 18 17 13)

(0.30) (27

.(27 23 18 17 13)

```
(25)
                                    (25)
                                     :
 (
                  (
                        )
. 2014/2013
                        (
```

•••

.

| R | O1O2 | X | 0102 |  |
|---|------|---|------|--|
| R | 0102 |   | 0102 |  |

X : R:

:

ANCOVA -1

-2

.

. -3

.

: :

(2) .

(2)

| 2.21 | 7.16  |  |  |
|------|-------|--|--|
| 2.68 | 5.45  |  |  |
| 3.20 | 12.97 |  |  |
| 2.72 | 4.95  |  |  |

(2)

(12.97)

.(4.95)

: (3) (ANCOVA)

0.61 422.22 3852.083 3852.083 1 6 771.203 771.203 .000 84.532 1 9.123 48 437.917 5972.000 50

(3) (84.532)  $(\alpha = 0.05)$  $(\alpha = 0.05)$ : (4) (4) 11.858 .551 3.592 .675 (4) (11.858) .(3.592) ( ( )

( )

.

.

( )

.

(2013)

.

(Schussheim, 1980)

(1996)

· : :

(5)

(5)

| 2.54 | 7.63  |  |  |
|------|-------|--|--|
| 1.76 | 3.95  |  |  |
| 2.90 | 11.90 |  |  |
| 2.62 | 9.15  |  |  |

.2016 (5)

(11.90) .(9.15)

: (6) (ANCOVA) (6)

(ANCOVA)

|      | (,,    |        |    |          |  |  |
|------|--------|--------|----|----------|--|--|
|      | 11 11  |        |    |          |  |  |
|      |        |        |    |          |  |  |
| .365 | .827   | .166   | 1  | 352.771  |  |  |
| .001 | 11.608 | 90.750 | 1  | 90.750   |  |  |
|      |        | 7.818  | 48 | 375.250  |  |  |
|      |        |        | 50 | 6298.000 |  |  |

(6) (α=0.05)

 $(\alpha = 0.05)$ 

."

: (7)

···

(7)

| 100=1  |      |  |
|--------|------|--|
| 10.874 | .510 |  |
| 7 893  | (25  |  |
| 1.893  | .025 |  |

.(7) .(7.893) (10.874)

(Lichtenheld, 1987) (1989) ) ( (1995) (1999) (2004) (2013)

" .(2010) .(2014) .(2004) " .(2004) " .(2004) " .(2011) .205 –189 (1) 38 " .(2013)

.

•••

.(2014) " .(2005) " .(1995) .(2009) .(2006) .(2008) " .(2003) " .(2012) .1821–1798 (8) 26 " .(1989) ".(1999)

" .(2010) 591 (4)26 .621 -.(2013) (CORT) " .(2013) .(2014) .(2001) .(2013) .(2008) .(1989) " .(2011) .69–19 (4+3) 27 " .(2012) .525-491 (2)28 .(2010)

: / . . . . . (2006)

" .(2009)

II

Howard, P., Perry, B., and Tracey, D. (1996). "Mathematics and manipulative: Comparing primary and secondary mathematics teachers! Views". Look at: www. aare. edu. eu .

- Lichtenheld, P. (1987). "Descriptive study of a prescription learning basic mathematics skills multi –media lab in an elementary school setting " ERIC, No. 143
- Manjunath, D. (2009). "Use of mathematics laboratory for teaching mathematics". E-Journal of all India Association for Educational Research, 21(1): 64-66.
- Johnson, N, and Laurie, H. (2000). "Mathematical thinking in second-grade children with different forms LD". Journal of Learning Disabilities, V33, N6.
- Okigbo, E. and Osuafor, A. (2008). "The effect of using mathematics laboratory in teaching mathematics on the achievement of mathematics students". Educational Research and Review, 3 (8), 257–261.
- Schoenfeld, A. (1983). Problem solving in the mathematics curriculum: A report, recommendations, and an annotated bibliography. Washington, DC: Mathematical Association of America.
- Schussheim, J. (1980). "A mathematics laboratory without its own room, ".School Science and Mathematics, 80(8), 637–642.