((6-1) (63) (22) (28) (28) (t) (6-1) (6-1) 5 . 2014/9/12 : . 2014/12/17 :

41

.2016

The Philosophical Beliefs of English Language Teachers and Their Relation to Their Classroom Practices

Nofeh Samih ALmawadieh

Ghazi Jamal Khaliefeh

Abstract

This study aimed at exploring the dominating teaching philosophical beliefs, for the basic stage English teachers (1-6) and their relation to their classroom practices. To achieve these objectives, a stratified random sample according to the genders of teachers was chosen. The number of male teachers was (22) teachers. While the number of female teachers was (63) teachers. A questionnaire was prepared that included the philosophical beliefs and teaching practices of basic stage English language teachers. The questionnaire contained (28) philosophical belief representing the basic four philosophies, and (28) teaching practices. In light of philosophical teachers' beliefs. By using means, standard deviations, and (t-test) for two independent samples, the study showed the following findings: The dominating philosophical beliefs for basic stage English teachers (1-6) were medium. The Islamic philosophical beliefs came in the first rank. The teaching practices of basic stage English teachers (1-6) was medium. The teaching practices in light of Islamic philosophy were in the first rank and high degree. There were no significant differences in philosophical beliefs and teaching practices attributed to teacher's gender. There were no significant differences in philosophical beliefs and teaching practices of teachers, attributed to the years of experience. But, there was a significant difference in the teaching practices, based on ideal philosophy, in favor of (5) years and above. There was a positive significant relation between English teachers' beliefs and their teaching practices.

Keywords: philosophical beliefs, English teachers, Classroom practices.

·

.

(2006) philosophizing

(Myers & Myers, 1995)

Clarification

Justification

Interpretation . Systematizing

Boyd-)

(Youngblood, 2003 .(Lane-Benjamin, 2013)

.(2013)

:

--

·

- (6-1)

:

(Brick house, 1990; Cronin-Jones, 1991; Laplante, 1990) (Newsome, Gess and Lederman; 1995)

.

.(2010)

.(Osterman and Kottkamp, 2002)

(Boyd-Youngblood, 2003)

(AL-Arfajr, 2001)

:

(6-1)

:

(6-1)		.1
(6-1)		.2
	(α≤0.05) (6-1)	.3
	(α≤0.05)	.4
	(α≤0.05) (6-1)	.5

:

·

.2014/2013 :

. - (6-1) :

:

:

(Cornett, 1990)

Cornett

Cornett

(20) Cornett

Cornett

(Horsman, 1995)

	(Liu, 1999)		
()		
	(Al-Arfajr, 2001)		
		:	1
			.1
			.2
			.3

.

(Kwak,2001)

(16)

(16)

(2001)

2005 Beswick (116) .

.2007-2006

.

(Garegae, 2002)

Eanest

. (2002)

. 350

(Boyd-young, 2003)

7-1

.

(Sweeny, 2003)

: Sweeny .

Sweeny

. () (Weber, 2003)

Weber "Peru" "Huanuco "

:

(Kerem, 2004) (2006)) ((%35 %24 %41

(2006)

(2006-2005)
(1188)
((620)
(%36.1)
(%53.5)
(%10.4)
(Hatcher, 2008)

. 1

.2

54

(120)

(75)

(75)

.2016 (75) (120) .3

·

(2010)

12

. (2010) Brown

. (9) (153)

.(100 -85)

(Sheehan&Burke,2012)

(118) (152)

(20)

(Lane, 2013)

: (6-1)(25) (97) (72) : (Krejcie & Morgan,) (85) 1970 (22) (63) : : (2014 (6-1) (2006) (1999) (Garegae,2002) (2013)

(2015)

•

:

(28) (28) ((56)) (.(1-5) Face validity (13) test-retest

58

(12)

(2) (1) Cronbach-Alpha

:

(1)

0.78	68.0	1
0.85	89.0	2
0.88	68.0	3
0.82	48.0	4

(1)

(6-1)

(0.88)

. (0.86-0.84)

(89.0)

. (0.88-0.78)

(2)

(6-1)

70.7	91.0	1
10.8	890.	2
40.8	82.0	3
60.8	87.0	4

(2) (0.86)(0.86-0.77) (2) (0.90)(0.86 -0.77) : - 1 2.33 - 1.33 -3.67 - 2.34 -5 - 3.68 -(t) -2 -3 Cronbach-Alpha -4 (6-1)

((6-1)			(3)		
		(6-1)			((3)
	1	0.28	3.56			1
	2	0.15	3.55			2
	3	0.22	3.54			4
	4	0.18	3.46			3
		0.06	3.53			
				(3)		
					(6-1)	
	(0.2	28)	(3.56))		
(3.55 - 3)	5.46)					
(3.55)						
					((0.15)
			(0.2	22)		(3.54)
		.(0.18)		(3.46)		п
	((0.06)	(3.53)		

: .1

. (4)

(4)

(6-1)

1	0.64	3.96		3
2	0.87	3.81	·	6
3	0.77	3.64	·	5
4	0.83	3.61	·	2
5	0.93	3.44	·	4
6	1.07	3.33		7
7	1.23	3.13		1
_	0.28	3.56		_

(4) (6-1) (0.28)(3.56)(3) (3.96-3.13) () (3.96) (0.64)(1) (3.13) (1.23) .2 (5) (5) (6-1) 0.77 1 3.93 6 2 0.77 3.92 3

2

3

0.89

3.66

4	1.10	3.48	7
5	1.12	3.35	4
6	0.76	3.34	5
7	0.94	3.15	1
	0.15	3.55	

(6-1) (0.15) (3.55)

" (6) (3.93-3.15)

(1) () (0.77) (3.93) (3.15) "

. (0.94)

: .3

. (6)

(6)

(6-1)

1	0.84	3.87		3
2	1.22	3.59	·	1
3	1.26	3.54	. ()	7
4	1.10	3.52	·	4
5	0.67	3.49		6
6	0.98	3.39	·	2
7	0.84	3.35		5
_	0.22	3.54		

(6)

(6-1)

(0.22) (3.54)

(3) () (3.87-3.35)

(0.84) (3.87)

(5) () (0.84) (3.35)

(0.84) (3.35)

(7) (6-1)

1	0.75	3.73		4
2	1.02	3.62		3
3	0.85	3.61		7
4	1.22	3.48	·	1
5	0.91	3.44		6
6	1.17	3.40		2
7	0.81	2.93		5
	0.18	3.46		

(7) (6-1) (0.18)(3.46)() (3.73-2.93) (4) () (0.75) (3.73)(5) (2.93) (0.81): (6-1) (6-1) (8) (8) (6-1) 1 0.18 1 3.77 0.17 2 763. 4 3 0.18 3 3.61 0.08 3.20 2 4

3.59

0.05

(8)
(6-1)
(0.05)
(3.59)
(0.18)
(3.77-3.20)
(0.08)
(3.20)
:
:
: .1

.

(9)

(6-1)

1	1.20	4.16		2
2	0.89	4.11		7

3	1.02	3.95		1
4	0.90	3.92	·	6
5	1.08	3.68	·	5
6	0.91	3.53	·	3
7	1.39	3.35		4
	0.18	3.77		

(9) (6-1) (0.18) (3.77)

(4.16- 3.35)

(4.16)

(4.16)

(1.20)

(4.16)

(1.20)

. (1.39) (3.35)

: .2

(10)

(10) (6-1)

1	0.73	4.04	1
2	1.07	3.92	3
3	1.11	3.87	7
4	0.84	3.78	6
5	0.91	3.54	2
6	1.20	3.44	4
7	0.89	3.33	5
	0.17	3.76	·

(10) (6-1) (0.17) (3.76) () (4.04 - 3.33)(1) (0.73) (4.04) (5) ((0.89)(3.33) .3 :

. (11) (11)

(6-1)

1	0.50	4.56		1
2	0.80	3.84		3
3	0.98	3.68		6
4	0.91	3.38		7

5	0.92	3.20		4
6	0.94	2.99	·	5
7	1.19	2.94		2
	0.18	3.61	•	

(0.18) (6-1) (0.18) (3.61)) (4.56-2.94) " (1) ((0.50) (4.56) "

(2) ()

(2.94) "

. (1.19)

: .**4**

(12)

(12)

(6-1)

1	0.83	4.00	7
2	1.07	3.78	3
3	1.08	3.68	2
4	1.23	3.21	6
5	1.24	3.04	4
6	1.24	2.98	1
7	1.20	2.51	5
	0.08	3.20	

(12)

(6-1) (0.08) (3.20)

(4.00-2.51) (7) (4.00) (0.83)(5) (1.20) (2.51) $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$ (6-1) -1 (6-1) (t-test) (13) (13) (t-test) (6-1)

			(- /		
0.975	0.031	0.28	3.56	7	
0.973		0.24	3.56	20	
0.289	1.091	0.26	3.67	5	
0.289	1.091	0.29	3.51	16	
0.164	1.455	0.12	3.58	5	
0.104		0.22	3.42	14	
0.250	1.193	0.15	3.60	5	
0.230		0.13	3.52	13	
0.065	1.867	0.46	3.60	22	
		0.32	3.46	63	

(13) (α≤0.05) (6-1) () (0.065)(1.867)(6-1) $(\alpha \le 0.05)$: .2 (6-1) (t-test) (14)(14) (t-test) (6-1)3.61 0.27 12 0.235 1.217 0.17 3.50 5 14 0.36 3.53 9 0.962 0.049 5 0.22 3.53 12 0.24 3.45 8 0.145 1.528 5 0.27 3.63 11 0.21 3.48 8 0.436 0.800 0.27 3.39 10 5

37

48

5

3.51

3.54

0.34

0.39

0.704

0.382

(14) $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$ $(0.704) \qquad (0.382)$ $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$ $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$ \vdots \vdots \vdots (15)

(t-test)

0.250	1.157	0.54	3.58	7	
0.258	1.157	0.49	3.84	20	
0.202	1.105	0.93	2.93	5	
0.283	1.105	0.53	3.29	16	
0.640	0.464	0.43	3.52	5	
0.649		0.50	3.64	14	
0.250	0.072	0.49	3.94	5	
0.350	0.963	0.51	3.68	13	
	0.848	0.67	3.53	22	
0.399		0.54	3.65	63	

.2016

(6-1)

(α≤0.05)

(0.399)

(α≤0.05)

.

(α≤0.05)

.

(α≤0.05)

.

(α≤0.205)

.

(α≤0.205)

.

(α≤0.205)

(6-1) . (16) (t-test)

(16)

	(t-	test)	(6-1)			
0.446	0.774	0.43	3.68	12		
		0.57	3.84	14	5	
0.285	1.101	0.81	3.03	9		
		0.47	3.34	12	5	
0.004*	3.362	0.51	3.27	8		
		0.25	3.86	11	5	
	0.072	0.48	3.75	8		
0.944		0.56	3.76	10	5	
0.335	0.970	0.67	3.52	37		
		0.49	3.64	48	5	

(0.05)

				(16)		
(6-1)					(α≤	0.05)
		()				
				.(0.335)	(0	.970)
(6-1)						0.05)
						5
	(<0.05)					
	(α≤0.05)				:	
	(6-1)					
				(6-1)		
				:	(17)	
					(17)	
			(6.1)		(17)	
Г			(6-1)			
_	0.871					
	0.000					
	0.945					
	0.000					
	0.935					
	0.000					
_	0.920					
-	0.000					
-	0.905**			_		
	0.000					

(0.05)

.2016
(α≤0.05)
(6-1)
(0.905)
(0.000)
0.871)
(0.920 0.935 0.945
.(0.000)

.

(6-1)

(6-1)

·

(6 -1)

(2)

(4)

(2006)

. (%36) : (6-1)

(6-1)

(Funda, 2009)

.2016

•

n .

.(2013)

п

(Strong, 1995) .

(α≤0.05) : (6-1)

:

: .1
(α≤0.05)
(6-1)

(Sheehan&Burke,2012)
(Liu ,1999)
. (2001)

: .2
(α≤0.05)
(6-1)

82

.2016

(Liu,1999) (Body – Young, 2003) (Al-Arfajr, 2001) (α≤ 0.05) : .1 (α≤0.05) (6-1) (6-1) .2 $(\alpha \le 0.05)$ (6-1) (6-1) 5 (6-1)

5

) (W. L. 2001)

(Weber,2001) .(2014 (Al-Arfajr,2001)

.

(α≤0.05) : (6-1)

 $(\alpha \le 0.05)$ (6-1)

(6-1)

(Lane, 2013) (Kerem, 2004)

84

.2016

	(2010)			Brown
		(2010)		
			:	
·		:		
				-
			·	-
	·			_
36		.(2010)		
			.301 -257	
. : .		.(2006)	.(2013)	

85

.(2001)
.751-719 (2)18
. : (2014)
" (2002)
.(18)
" (2006)

- Al-Arfajr, Ahlam Mohammed. (2001). Philosophical beliefs and instructional practices of two effective literacy teachers: A qualitative case stud . DAI-A..
- Boyd-Youngblood, Dianne. (2003). Teacher beliefs as a lever for change and the construction of teaching practice in a high-stakes science environment. DAI-A.
- Brick house, N. W. (1990). Teachers beliefs about the nature of science and their relation to class room practice. Journal of Teacher Educational, 41 (3), 53-62.
- Cornett, G.W. (1990). Teacher personal practical theories and their Influence, upon teacher curricular and instructional action a case study of ascienceteacher, Science Education, 74(5), 517-524.
- Cronin-Jones, L. (1991). Science teacher beliefs and their influence on curriculum Implementation: Two case studies. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 28(3), 235-250.
- Funda, S. (2009). Teachers beliefe and practice in science education. Asia Pacific forum on science learning and teaching. (1).
- Garegae, Kgomotso Gertrude Mduna (2002), Teachers, beliefs about mathematics, its teaching and learning and the communication of these beliefs to students: A case study in Botswana. DAI-A.

- Hatcher, Robin May. (2008). Measurement of philosophical beliefs and self-efficacy of pre-service teachers. DAI-A.
- Horseman, Helen Marina, (1995). An analysis of early elementary teachers' beliefs about their mathematics teaching. DAI-A.
- Kerem, M. John, T. (2004). "The tension between teacher beliefs and teaching Practice: Theimpact of the work setting. Group for the psychology of the mathematice education. (3) 137-144.
- Krejcie, Robert V. & Morgan, Daryle W. (1970)."Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. Educational and psychological Measurement, 30, P.607-610.
- Kwak, Young sun (2001). Profile change in preservice science teacher's epistemological and ontological beliefs about constructivist learning: Implications for science teaching and learning. DAI-A.
- Lane, Benjamin Caleb (2013). A comparison of Christian school and public school geometry teachers concerning the beliefs and practices of teaching proofs. DAI-A.
- Laplante, B. (1997). "Teachers Beliefs and Instructional strategies in science: pushing Analysis Further". Science Education, 81:277-294.
- Liu, Fuchang. (1999). A comparative study of selected perceptions of charter schools through the philosophical beliefs of teachers, administrators and policy makers in Louisiana. DAI-A.
- Myers, Charles B. and Myers, Lynn K. (1955). The professional educator: In a new introduction to teaching and schools wads worth publishing company London.
- Nespor, J. (1987). The role of beliefs in the practice of teaching. Journal of curriculum studies, 19(4), 317-328.
- Newsome Gess & Lederman, N. (1995). Biology Teachers perceptions of subject matter and its Relationship to classroom practice. Journal of Research in science Teaching .32(3), 301-335.

- Osterman, K.F. & Kottkamp, B. (2002). Reflective Practice. For educators: improving schooling though professional development. New York MG Graw-Hill.
- Pajares, F. (1992) Teachers beliefs and educational research. Cleaning up a messy construct. Review of Educational Research, 70. 509-517.
- Sheehan, Michael D. Johnson, R. Burke (2012). Probing Philosophical beliefs of instructional design faculty and professional Educational Technology, Research and Development Vol.60.Issue 1 pages 131-153
- Strong, David. (1995). What the fool knows: An inquiry into Langland's philosophical beliefs.DAI-A.
- Sweeny. A. (2003). Articulating the Relationships Between Theory and Practice in Science Teaching: a model for teacher professional development. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice 9 (2), 107-132.
- Weber, Diana Dahlin. (2003). Preservice teachers' beliefs and practices regarding constructivist literacy teaching in Huanuco. DAI-A.